THE STATE OF THE S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,382	04/20/2004	Michael Nutt	BBM-145US 4820	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 07/13/2007 STIA	EXAMINER		INER
P O BOX 980			ROE, JESSEE RANDALL	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,382	NUTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessee Roe	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	<u>April 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-34 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Bures  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claims Status

Claims 1-22, drawn to a titanium alloy, are currently under examination.

Claims 23-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of imparting wear resistance, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12 April 2007. Applicant's election of a titanium alloy without traverse for claims 1-22 in the reply filed on 12 April 2007 is acknowledged.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Means-Plus-Function Language

Instant claim 1 contains means-plus-function format and has been interpreted as follows:

Art Unit: 1742

"wear-resistance means for making the titanium device wear-resistant" is NOT in proper means-plus-function format and does not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph since the claim is neither an apparatus claim nor a process claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beals et al. (US 5,704,239).

In regards to claims 1-6 and 15-22, Beals et al. ('239) disclose wherein a titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) would be used for hip, knee, and spinal implants (col. 3, lines 5-67). The titanium alloy orthopedic implant would be shot peened with ceramic beads by high pressure air to apply sufficient wear resistance to the titanium alloy (col. 3, lines 5-67 and col. 2, lines 14-22).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davidson (US 5,498,302).

In regards to claims 1-6, Davidson ('302) discloses wherein a titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) alloy would be a preferred implant metal (col. 4, lines 7-31). The types of implants include cardiovascular and orthopedic implants (col. 2, lines 11-

Art Unit: 1742

16). Wear resistance would be improved by internal oxidation (col. 5, line 40 – col. 6, line 16).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson (US 5,498,302).

In regards to claims 7-9, Davidson ('302) discloses a wear resistant and surface hardened titanium alloys that would be used as an implant device (col. 1, lines 11-20). Davidson ('302) teaches wherein the implants device's surface hardness would exceed 50 HRC for titanium alloys, the alloys would typically have a hardness (including the core) prior to treatment of up to 40 HRC, and the increased surface hardness would extend to levels of 50 microns or more depending upon gas concentration, time, temperature, and alloy composition, which overlaps the surface hardness depth and hardness of the instant invention, which is a prima facie case of obviousness (col. 2, line 47 – col. 3, line 16). See MPEP 2144.05 I and MPEP 2144.05 II. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed hardness values and hardness depths disclosed by Davidson

Art Unit: 1742

('302), because Davidson ('302) discloses the same utility throughout the disclosed ranges.

In regards to claims 10-12, Davidson ('302) discloses wherein the hardness of a Ti-6Al-4V alloy device decreases from the surface to the core (Figure 3).

In regards to claims 13-14, Davidson ('302) further discloses wherein the implants would be used as orthopedic implants and orthopedic implants (col. 2, lines 11-16).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessee Roe whose telephone number is (571) 272-5938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JR

**HOY KING** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1700